B THE BOARD Unissing

Sh Sanjay Goyal, (M-9814015351) S/o Sh Kishan Chand Goyal, House No 51, Sector 21A, Chandigarh

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, Mohali

First Appellate Authority O/o DC, Mohali

2.Public Information Officer, (Registered post) O/o, SDM, Dera Bassi

.....Respondent

AC No.2015 of 2022

PRESENT: (i). Sh. Sanjay Goyal the appellant

(ii) For the Respondent: Sh Mahipal Sharma, Jr Asstt. (9814277677)

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.08.2022 vide which a bailable warrant was issued to the PIO O/o SSP, Mohali.

2. Sh Mahipal Sharma, Jr Asstt. Is appearing on behalf of the Respondent-PIO and has informed about the demise of the PIO- Sh Ravindar Kumar on 10.09.2022.Further, he states that he has provided the information to the Appellant.

3. The Appellant states that he has received the information and is satisfied with it.

4. Since, the information has been provided to the Appellant therefore, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 23.09.2022



Ms.Satbir Kaur, (M-8387895309) D/o Late Sh.Sukhminder Singh, Jatsikh,13 HMH Bhadrakali Road, AmarpuraKheri,Hanumangarh Town, Rajasthan-335513

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Commandant,13Battalion,PAP Chandigarh First Appellate Authority O/o IGP,PAP,JalandharCantt.

.....Respondent

AC No.1279 of 2022

PRESENT : (i) Ms Satbir Kaur the appellant

(ii) For the Respondent: Sh Sham Sundar, DSP (9876516539) Sh Sanjiv Abrol, ASI (9326900786) and Sh Avtar Singh, INSP O/o IGP, PAP, Jalandhar (9417303441)

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.08.2022 vide which the Appellant had pointed out deficiencies in the information provided.

2. Today the Respondent states that they have brought the information today, after the removal of deficiencies, as pointed out by the Appellant. Further, they have also filed their reply as under:-

No	Particulars	Status
1	Copy of Entry Register dated 15-06-	Not available
	2021	
2	Statement of her accomplices	Statements of mentioned persons in the
	(Sarpanch, Rohit, Amandeep Singh,	column no. 2 is not recorded by the
	kuldeep Singh) to the Battalion	Enquiry Officer. Hence this record is not
	Headquater	available in this office.
3	Copy of CD provided by Satveer Kaur	Is being provided
	as evidence against Constable	
	Kuldeep Singh, No. 13/420	
4.	All correspondence of the office of	Is being provided.
	ADGP, State Armed Police, Jalandhar	
	regarding departmental enquiry of	
	Constable Kuldeep Singh 13/420.	



AC No.1279 of 2022

The original copy of the reply, as filed by the Respondent, along with the copy of information is handed over to the Appellant.

3. The Appellant states that she is not satisfied with the information provided.

4. I have gone through the documents on record and pleadings of the parties. It has been observed that the requisite information stands provided to the appellant by the respondent. The appellant, however, is unnecessarily dragging the matter with some ulterior motive. Appellant is advised, for her grievances, she may approach the higher authorities. In view of the above, the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 23.09.2022



Ms.Satvir Kaur, (M-8387895309) D/o Late Sh.Sukhminder Singh, Jatsikh,13 HMH Bhadrakali Road, AmarpuraKheri,Hanumangarh Town, Rajasthan-335513

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Commandant,13Battalion,PAP Chandigarh First Appellate Authority O/o IGP,PAP,JalandharCantt.

.....Respondent

<u>AC No.5393 of 2022</u>

PRESENT : (i) Ms Satvir Kaur the appellant

(ii) For the Respondent: Sh Sham Sundar, DSP (9876516539) Sh Sanjiv Abrol, ASI (9326900786) and Sh Avtar Singh, INSP O/o IGP, PAP, Jalandhar (9417303441)

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.08.2022 vide

which the Appellant had pointed out deficiencies in the information provided.

2. Today the Respondent states that they have brought the information today, after the removal of deficiencies, as pointed out by the Appellant. Further, they have also filed their reply as under:-

No	Particulars	Status
1	Copy of Entry Register dated 15-06- 2021	Not available
2	Statement of her accomplices (Sarpanch, Rohit, Amandeep Singh, kuldeep Singh) to the Battalion Headquater	Statements of mentioned persons in the column no. 2 is not recorded by the Enquiry Officer. Hence this record is not available in this office.
3	Copy of CD provided by Satveer Kaur as evidence against Constable Kuldeep Singh, No. 13/420	Is being provided
4.	All correspondence of the office of ADGP, State Armed Police, Jalandhar regarding departmental enquiry of Constable Kuldeep Singh 13/420.	Is being provided.



AC No.5393 of 2022

The original copy of the reply, as filed by the Respondent, along with the copy of information is handed over to the Appellant.

3. The Appellant states that she is not satisfied with the information provided.

4. I have gone through the documents on record and pleadings of the parties. It has been observed that the requisite information stands provided to the appellant by the respondent. However, the Appellant kept on stressing at the fact that the case has been filed before PIO O/o IGP, PAP, Jalandhar Cantt. The undersigned tried to clarify the same but all the efforts went in vain. Hence, Appellant is advised, for her grievances, she may approach the higher authorities. In view of the above, the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Chandigarh 23.09.2022



Sh. Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, House No. 60-35-P-376-1, Street 08, Maha Singh Nagar, Ludhiana – 141014 (Punjab) (9888490031)

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o The Executive Officer

Nagar Council, Gobindgarh , Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Executive Officer Nagar Council, Gobindgarh , Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib (Punjab)

.....Respondent

AC No. 2892 of 2022

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh Rajinder Kumar, Inspector (9888553915)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 05.09.2022.

2. The Appellant is not present today. However, he has sent an e-mail mentioning that due to the death of his close relative he shall not be able to attend the hearing today. Copy of the same is taken on record.

3. The Respondent states that as directed in the previous hearing, the Appellant has neither inspected the record in their office nor he has pointed out specific information.

4. After hearing both the parties and perusing the case file it is ascertained that the Appellant is not present today. On the first date of hearing i.e 29.07.2022, the Appellant was not present. He was directed to point out specific information required by him. However, he had failed to point-out the same. Again on the next date of hearing, i.e



AC No. 2892 of 2022

05.09.2022 the Appellant was not present. He was directed to inspect the record in the O/o Respondent on any working day, with prior intimation but he has failed to inspect the same. Hence, I am of the view that no useful purpose would be served by prolonging the matter any further. Hence, the Appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 23.09.2022



Sh. Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, House No. 60-35-P-376-1, Street 08, Maha Singh Nagar, Ludhiana – 141014 (Punjab) (9888490031)

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o The Executive Officer

Nagar Council, Gobindgarh , Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Executive Officer Nagar Council, Gobindgarh , Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib (Punjab)

.....Respondent

AC No. 2894 of 2022

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh Rajinder Kumar, Inspector (9888553915)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 05.09.2022.

2. The Appellant is not present today. However, he has sent an e-mail mentioning that due to the death of his close relative he shall not be able to attend the hearing today. Copy of the same is taken on record.

3. The Respondent states that as directed in the previous hearing, the Appellant has neither inspected the record in their office nor he has pointed out specific information.

4. After hearing both the parties and perusing the case file it is ascertained that the Appellant is not present today. On the first date of hearing i.e 29.07.2022, the Appellant was not present. He was directed to point out specific information required by him. However, he had failed to point-out the same. Again on the next date of hearing, i.e



AC No. 2894 of 2022

05.09.2022 the Appellant was not present. He was directed to inspect the record in the O/o Respondent on any working day, with prior intimation but he has failed to inspect the same. Hence, I am of the view that no useful purpose would be served by prolonging the matter any further. Hence, the Appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 23.09.2022

Sh Ravindar Pal Singh Chadha, #30, Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar (9814228629)

Versus

...Appellant

ਨਜ ਸੂਚਨ

Informati

Putty Punjab Sto

Public Information Officer,

O/o Jalandhar Improvement Trust, Jalandhar First Appellate Authority <u>Now</u> O/o ADC (UD) Jalandhar

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 3989 OF 2021

PRESENT: (i) None for the appellant

(ii) For the Respondent: Sh Rajesh Chaudhary, EO (9463815204)

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 07.09.2022.

2. The Appellant is not present today. However, he has sent an e-mail stating that he has not received any information from the Respondent-PIO.

3. Sh Rajesh Chaudhary, EO is appearing personally today. He has filed an affidavit as under:-

	ACH I
ਹਲਫੀਆ ਬਿਆਨ	
ਮੈਂ ਰਾਜੇਸ਼ ਚੌਧਰੀ, ਕਾਰਜ ਸਾਧਕ ਅਫਸਰ, ਨਗਰ ਸੁਧਾਰ ਟਰੱਸਟ, ਜਲੰਧਰ ਹੇਠ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਬਿਆਨ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ:-	
1) ਇਹ ਕਿ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੀ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ/ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਇਹ ਪਲਾਟ ਨੰ: 6 ਨਿਊ ਗੋਪਾਲ ਨਗਰ ਦੀ ਫਾਇਲ ਕਾਫੀ ਸਮੇਂ ਪਹਿਲਾ ਦੀ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਗੁੰਮ ਹੋ ਚੁੱਕੀ ਹੈ।	
2) ਇਹ ਕਿ ਇਸ ਫਾਇਲ ਦੀ ਗੁੰਮਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਦੀ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਉਪਲਬਧ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੀ: ਜੲਟ/9156 ਮਿਤੀ 11.09.2017 ਜੋ ਐਸ.ਐਚ.ਓ, ਥਾਣਾ ਡਵੀਜ਼ਨ ਨੀ: 4,ਜਲੰਧਰ ਅਤੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੀ: 2362 ਮਿਤੀ 16.10.2018 ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਜਲੰਧਰ, ਪੱਤਰ ਨੀ: 2469 ਮਿਤੀ 25.10.2018, ਪੱਤਰ ਨੀ: 3565 ਮਿਤੀ 23.01.2019, ਪੱਤਰ ਨੀ: 6378 ਮਿਰੀ 10.10.2019, ਪੱਤਰ ਨੀ: 7196 ਮਿਤੀ 20.02.2019 ਲਿਖਿਆ ਹੋਇਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਹੁਣ ਮੁੜ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਹੁਕਮਾਂ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੀ:1243 ਮਿਤੀ 13.09.2022 ਨਾਲ ਐਸ.ਐਚ.ਓ, ਥਾਣਾ ਡਵੀਜ਼ਨ ਨੀ: 4, ਜਲੰਧਰ ਨੂੰ ਐਫ.ਆਈ.ਆਰ. ਦਰਜ ਕਰਨ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਪੱਤਰ ਲਿਖ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ। ਮਗਰ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਅਜੇ ਕੋਈ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ। ਜਿਸ ਕਾਰਨ ਇਸ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੁੜ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਜਲੰਧਰ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੀ: ਜਬੰਟ/1301 ਮਿਤੀ 20.09.2022 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਫਿਰ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਦਾ ਇੱਕ ਉਤਾਰਾ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਅਤੇ ਐਸ.ਐਚ.ਓ. ਡਵੀਜਨ ਨੀ: 4 ਜਲੰਧਰ ਨੂੰ ਮੁੜ ਪੱਤਰਾਂ ਤੋਂ ਫਿਲਾਵਾ ਸਾਰੇ ਪੱਤਰਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਪਹਿਲਾਂ ਹੀ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਦਵਤਰ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨਿ: ਜੲਟ/1213 ਮਿਤੀ 06.09.2022 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਫੇਜ਼ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਸਨ।	
3) ਇਹ ਕਿ ਇਹ ਫਾਇਲ ਨੰ: 6 ਨਿਊ ਗੋਪਾਲ ਨਗਰ ਜਲੰਧਰ ਹੁਣ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੀ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਸਾਡੇ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਵਿੱਚ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਨਹੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਗੁੰਮ ਹੋ ਚੁੱਕੀ ਹੈ। ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਦੇ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਹੀ 2 ਫਾਇਲਾਂ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਹਨ। ਅਸਲ ਫਾਈਲ ਨਾ ਹੋਣ ਕਾਰਨ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਪਾਰਟੀ ਨੂੰ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਮੁਹੱਈਆਂ ਨਹੀਂ	
ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੋ ਜੀ। ਸਿਤੀ 20.09.2022	
ਉਪਰੋਕਤੇ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਗ੍ਰਿਪਿਛਲਿਆ ਵਿਸ਼ਸ਼ੀ। ਦੀ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਸਹੀ ਅਤੇ ਦਰੁਸਤ ਹਨ।	
ATTESTED ਇਆਨ ਕਰਤਾ	See 1
SOTARY JALANDHAR (Pb) India	
2 2 SEP 2022	



APPEAL CASE NO. 3989 OF 2021

4. I have gone through the affidavit filed by the Appellant and have agreed with the same. In view of the same, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties. <u>The original affidavit as filed by the Respondent be sent to the Appellant along with these orders</u>.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 23.09.2022



..Vs

.....Appellant

Putty Punjab

ਕਜ ਸੂਚਨ

Informatio

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, SAS Nagar

First Appellate Authority, O/o IGP, Roopnagar range, Roopnagar

.....Respondent AC No. 3670 of 2022

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant (ii) For the respondent : Smt. Rajwinder Kaur, HC

ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated 18.02.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 08.08.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 23.09.2022 in the Commission.

3. The appellant is absent today.

4. The respondent states that she has brought complete information alongwith pointwise reply today in the Commission.

5. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is observed that the appellant is absent today without any intimation. The respondent has brought proper reply alongwith information today in the Commission. *Copy of the information as submitted by the respondent be sent to the appellant alongwith these orders*. The Commission has examined the reply which adequately addresses the RTI application. Hence, no cause of action is left. Therefore the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated :23.09.2022



Sh Anil Kumar Parashar (83600-34955) R/o Ward no 2,Kurali .

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Nagar Council Kurali First Appellate Authority, O/o ADC (Urban Development) SAS Nagar

.....Respondent

.....Appellant

Present: (i) Sh. Anil Kumar Prashar the appellant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Amandeep Sharma , Junior Engineer (6280828900), Sh. Rajesh Kumar (Junior Assistant) 9780016188)

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 02.12.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 08.08.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 23.09.2022 in the Commission.

3. The appellant states that he has not received information regarding point no. 2. He further states that the information regarding point no. 3 is not attested.

4. The respondent states that he has brought information regarding point no. 2 today in the Commission. He further states that the information regarding point no. 3 , duly attested will be sent to the appellant.

5. Copy of the information regarding point no. 2 is handed over to the appellant. Further the respondent is directed to send information on point no. 3, duly attested, to the appellant. The appellant expressed her satisfaction over the same and stated that she had no objection if the case is disposed of accordingly.

6. With these directions , the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated :23.09.2022



Ms Anju Rani Parashar (83600-34955) R/o Ward no 2,Kurali .

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Nagar Council Kurali First Appellate Authority, O/o ADC (Urban Development) SAS Nagar

.....Respondent

.....Appellant

AC No. 3632 of 2022

Present: (i) Ms. Anju Rani Prashar the appellant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Amandeep Sharma , Junior Engineer (6280828900), Sh. Rajesh Kumar (Junior Assistant) 9780016188)

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 03.12.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 08.08.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 23.09.2022 in the Commission.

3. The appellant states that she has received complete information except point nos. 5 and 7.

4. The respondent promised that the same will be provided to the appellant today itself.

5. The respondent is directed to send the information to the appellant pertaining to point nos. 5 and 7 today itself with a copy to the Commission. The appellant expressed her satisfaction over the same and stated that she had no objection if the case is disposed of accordingly.

6. With these directions , the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated :23.09.2022



Sh. Manu, S/o Sh. Vijay Kumar, Vrindavan House Back Side Aman Hospital Mohalla Kali Kambli Ashram, Hoshiarpur – 146001 (978146834)

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, Fatehgarh Sahib First Appellate Authority, O/o DC, Fateharh Sahib

.....Appellant

.....Respondent

AC No. 2896 of 2022

Present: None for the parties.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 05.09.2022, passed by the Commission.

2. Today neither the appellant nor the respondent is present.

3. The perusal of the file shows that today is the third hearing. During the first hearing i.e. 29.07.2022, the appellant was not present and the respondent stated that the information running into 122 pages have already been sent to the appellant. On the next date of hearing i.e. 05.09.2022, again the appellant was not present. Today again the appellant is not present.

4. In the aforementioned circumstances, I am of the considered view that no useful purpose would be served by prolonging this matter any further. The case is, therefore, **closed and disposed of.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated :23.09.2022